UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,409	03/21/2007	Zoser B. Salama	7014-200ABN	6293
46002 JOYCE VON N	7590 08/04/200 VATZMER	EXAMINER		
PEQUIGNOT +		BLAND, LAYLA D		
200 Madison Avenue Suite 1901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	10016	1623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
All Participants: (1) LAYLA BLAND (2) Lovce Natzmer (2) Lovce Natzmer (3)	Fxaminer-Initiated Interview Summary	10/596,409	SALAMA ET AL.			
All Participants: (1)AYLA BLAND. (2)	Examiner middled merview dammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
(2) Joyce Natzmer. (2) Joyce Natzmer. (3)		LAYLA BLAND	1623			
Caylor Naturner. Caylor Natu	All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
Type of Interview: 31 July 2009 Time: Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: No If Yes, provide a No If Y	(1) <u>LAYLA BLAND</u> .	(3)				
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Applicant Applicant's representative)	(2) <u>Joyce Natzmer</u> .	(4)				
Talephonic Applicant Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 31 July 2009	Time:				
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Natzmer confirmed that Applicant had not filed a reply to the office action mailed November 13, 2008. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	cant's representative)				
Claims discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Natzmer confirmed that Applicant had not filed a reply to the office action mailed November 13, 2008. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Natzmer confirmed that Applicant had not filed a reply to the office action mailed November 13, 2008. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Natzmer confirmed that Applicant had not filed a reply to the office action mailed November 13, 2008. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Natzmer confirmed that Applicant had not filed a reply to the office action mailed November 13, 2008. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Claims discussed:					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Natzmer confirmed that Applicant had not filed a reply to the office action mailed November 13, 2008. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Prior art documents discussed:					
Ms. Natzmer confirmed that Applicant had not filed a reply to the office action mailed November 13, 2008. Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Part II.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623						
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Part III.					
Examiner, Art Unit 1623	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	ne examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
	Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			